Application No. Applicant(s) 10/676.872 FOMOVSKAIA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit CYNTHIA B. WILDER 1637 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) CYNTHIA B. WILDER. (2) Kathyrn Piffat. (4)____. Date of Interview: 24 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Piffat discussed with the Examiner that a typo was notice on the Notice of Allowability form. Ms. Piffat explained that the claims recited 27-58, instead of 37-58. The Examiner agreed that the typo exist on the form PTOL-37 and stated that a supplemental notice of allowance would be submitted to correct the error. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.